

# Application of Continued Fractions for Fast Evaluation of Certain Functions on a Digital Computer

AMNON BRACHA-BARAK

**Abstract**—The purpose of this paper is to develop a method for evaluation of certain elementary functions on a digital computer by the use of continued fractions. The time required for this evaluation is drastically reduced by using “short” operations like shift and add, instead of multiplications. Functional consistency is the most important factor that allows the expansion of a function into a continued fraction. Several cases are discussed; in particular the solution of the quadratic equation is discussed in more detail to demonstrate the convergence of the method.

**Index Terms**—Bilinear transformation, binary arithmetic, continued fractions, quadratic equation, Riccati equation, selection rules.

## I. INTRODUCTION

THE idea of using continued fraction representations for generating a solution to a limited class of quadratics was first introduced by Robertson [3].

Consider the finite continued fraction with  $k$  partial numerators  $p_i$  and  $k$  partial denominators  $q_i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , whose value is  $A_k/B_k$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{A_k}{B_k} = \frac{p_1}{q_1 + \frac{p_2}{q_2 + \frac{p_3}{q_3 + \dots + \frac{p_k}{q_k}}}} \quad (1.1)$$

A standard way of writing (1.1) is

$$\frac{A_k}{B_k} = \frac{p_1}{q_1} + \frac{p_2}{q_2} + \frac{p_3}{q_3} + \dots + \frac{p_k}{q_k}$$

$A_k$  and  $B_k$  are determined from the recursions:

$$A_i = q_i A_{i-1} + p_i A_{i-2}$$

$$B_i = q_i B_{i-1} + p_i B_{i-2} \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, k, \quad (1.2)$$

with initial values:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= 0 & A_1 &= p_1 \\ B_0 &= 1 & B_1 &= q_1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

It is clear that  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  can be separately and simultaneously determined in two binary arithmetic units in  $k - 1$  addition times if the  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are chosen to be simple in the binary sense.

The digit set for  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  for the purposes of this paper is  $\{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ . Since an arithmetic unit of a digital computer is used, all the approximations in this paper are up to a finite number of binary digits. We will therefore define binary values as all the values that a given binary arithmetic unit can assume. It will be proved in Section II that the continued fraction  $A_k/B_k$  assumes in the limit all the binary values over the interval  $[(\sqrt{2} - 1)/2, \sqrt{2}]$ . This range includes  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , the range of normalized floating point binary fractions. This property indicates that a suitable continued fraction representation exists, such that conversion to conventional binary can be achieved by repetitive use of two binary adders in parallel, followed by a division to determine the quotient  $A_k/B_k$ .

The main reason for selecting  $p_i, q_i \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , is that the four multiplicative operations required for each iteration in (1.2) are reduced to “shift” and “add” operations. These operations will be called “short” operations throughout this paper, mainly because the time required to perform these operations is shorter than the time required to perform “long” operations, e.g., multiplication, division.

The purpose of this paper is to develop algorithms for fast evaluation of certain elementary functions by using “short” operations in several registers simultaneously. In order to be able to do so we make use of functional consistency which will be defined in Section II.

Determination of selection rules for  $p$  and  $q$  in each iteration is an important step for the development of the algorithm. Selection rules were extensively studied by Trivedi [5], where a complete set of such rules were developed for the quadratic equation. The set of selection rules that is used in this paper is described in Section III.

In Section IV, we generalize our results to a higher degree

Manuscript received May 15, 1972; revised July 9, 1973. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant US NSF GJ813.

The author was with the Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Ill. He is now with the Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.

polynomial, and in Section V, we show two more cases where our analysis is applicable.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we develop some concepts of continued fractions that will be used throughout this paper.

The general continued fraction will be regarded as a sequence of bilinear transformations of the form:

$$f_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k + f_{k+1}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $f_k(x)$  is a function of  $x$ .

$$f_1 = \frac{p_1}{q_1 + \frac{p_2}{q_2 + \dots + \frac{p_n}{q_n + f_{n+1}}}} \quad (2.2)$$

$$= \frac{A_n + f_{n+1}A_{n-1}}{B_n + f_{n+1}B_{n-1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.3)$$

where the functions  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  satisfy the recursion (1.2) with the initial values given by (1.3).

Let  $f_1(x) = F(x, 1)$  be defined over the interval  $[m, M]$ . We will expand  $f_1(x)$  into a continued fraction such as (2.1) and require that the choice of  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  be made such that  $f_2(x) = F(x, 2)$  is also defined over  $[m, M]$ . Since  $f_2(x)$  is a continued fraction we can use the same rules of selection for  $p_2$  and  $q_2$ .

We now define the term functional consistency.

**Definition:** For a substitution of the form

$$f_k(x) = \frac{p_k}{q_k + f_{k+1}(x)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $f_k(x) = F(k, x)$  is a function of  $k$  and  $x$ ,  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  are constants; if  $f_k(x)$  and  $f_{k+1}(x)$  are defined over the same interval, then we have functional consistency.

We now give a general proof of convergence for continued fractions with positive elements. Functional consistency will be required in order to assure that only one set of selection rules for  $p$  and  $q$  are used.

**Theorem 1:** Let

$$f_1(x) = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{p_1}{q_1 + \frac{p_2}{q_2 + \dots + \frac{p_n}{q_n + f_{n+1}(x)}}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

be the continued fraction expansion of  $f_1(x)$  with positive elements,  $p$  and  $q$ . Assume that functional consistency exists for all  $f_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $A_n/B_n$  be the  $n$ th approximation to  $f_1(x)$ .

Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N$ , such that for all  $n > N$ ,

$$\delta_n = \left| \frac{A}{B} - \frac{A_n}{B_n} \right| < \epsilon.$$

**Proof:** We will study relations between  $\delta_{2n}$ ,  $\delta_{2n-2}$  and  $\delta_{2n+1}$ ,  $\delta_{2n-1}$ , because of the well-known property that in

continued fractions with positive elements, the convergents of even order generate a monotonically increasing sequence, which has a limit, and the odd convergents generate a monotonically decreasing sequence with a limit. The value of the continued fraction is greater than that of any of its even convergents and less than that of any of its odd convergents [1], [2].

Define

$$T_{2n} = \frac{\delta_{2n}}{\delta_{2n-2}}, \quad T_{2n+1} = \frac{\delta_{2n+1}}{\delta_{2n-1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then if we prove that for all  $n$ ,  $T_n < 1$ , it will follow that the limit of convergents of even order and the limit of convergents of odd order are equal to the value of the continued fraction. We note that the values in the numerator and denominator of  $T$  have equal signs; therefore the absolute value sign can be omitted.

We have [2, ch. 1]:

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \frac{A/B - A_n/B_n}{A/B - A_{n-2}/B_{n-2}} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n+2} p_1 p_2 \dots p_n p_{n+1} / B B_n}{(-1)^n p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n-1} (q_{n+1} q_n + p_{n+1}) / B B_{n-2}} \\ &= \frac{p_n \cdot p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1} \cdot q_n + p_{n+1}} \cdot \frac{B_{n-2}}{B_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p_{n+1} = f_{n+1} = p_n/f_n - q_n$ ,  $q_{n+1} = 1$  and  $B_n = q_n B_{n-1} + p_n B_{n-2}$ , we conclude that:

$$T_n = \frac{p_n - q_n f_n}{p_n + q_n \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_{n-2}}} = \frac{1 - \frac{q_n}{p_n} f_n}{1 + \frac{q_n}{p_n} \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_{n-2}}}.$$

All the quantities and  $T_n$  are positive,  $T_n < 1$ , and the result follows. Q.E.D.

The assumption in Theorem 1 is that an algorithm for finding  $p$  and  $q$  in each step exists. In the remaining part of this section we will show one such algorithm.

First, we find extreme values,  $m$  and  $M$ , for a continued fraction of the form (1.1).

**Theorem 2:** Let the  $k$  approximant to  $f_1(x)$  be

$$\frac{A_k}{B_k} = \frac{p_1}{q_1} + \frac{p_2}{q_2} + \dots + \frac{p_k}{q_k};$$

and let  $p_i, q_i \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Then we have in the limit:

$$M = \max f_1(x) = \max \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k/B_k = \sqrt{2}$$

$$m = \min f_1(x) = \min \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k/B_k = (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2.$$

*Proof:* For the maximum value  $M$  we have,

$$M = \frac{\max p_1}{\min q_1} + \frac{\min p_2}{\max q_2 + M}.$$

We substitute now the maximum and minimum values for  $p$  and  $q$  and solve for  $M$ . The result is  $M^2 = 2$ , and since the first and second convergents [1, theorem 154] are positive, it follows that  $M = +\sqrt{2}$ .

Similarly we have for  $m$ :

$$m = \frac{\min p_1}{\max q_1} + \frac{\max p_2}{\min q_2 + m}.$$

$m$  is positive and can be found by solving the quadratic equation

$$4m^2 + 4m - 1 = 0.$$

The result is  $m = (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$ . Note that in general, if all  $p$  and  $q$  are positive, so are  $m$  and  $M$ . Q.E.D.

We will use now the analysis of Theorem 1 to study the rate of convergence, by finding an upper bound to  $T_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \max T_n &= \max \frac{1 - \frac{q_n}{p_n} f_n}{1 + \frac{q_n}{p_n} \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_{n-2}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \min \left( \frac{q_n}{p_n} f_n \right)}{1 + \min \left( \frac{q_n}{p_n} \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_{n-2}} \right)}, \\ \min \frac{q_n}{p_n} f_n &= \frac{1}{2} \min f_n = \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{4} \cong 0.1035; \\ \min \left( \frac{q_n}{p_n} \cdot \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_{n-2}} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \min \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_{n-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

but  $B_{n-1}/B_{n-2}$  is a continued fraction whose value is

$$\frac{B_{n-1}}{B_{n-2}} = q_{n-1} + \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-2}} + \frac{p_{n-2}}{q_{n-3}} + \dots + \frac{p_2}{q_1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\min \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_{n-2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}.$$

Finally we have,

$$\max T_n \leq \frac{1 - \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{4}}{1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}} = \frac{3 - \sqrt{2}}{4 + \sqrt{2}} \cong 0.2929.$$

Therefore, for  $n$  sufficiently large, the absolute error  $\delta_n$  is reduced by a factor which is less than or equal to 0.2929 for each pair of additional iterations.

We will prove now that all values,  $y, y \in [m, M]$ , can be approximated by a continued fraction of the form (1.1). In particular we show a method, also primitive, for selecting  $p$  and  $q$  in each step.

*Algorithm 1:* Let  $y$  be a given real constant,  $y \in [m, M]$ . Then there exists a continued fraction  $x$ , of the form (1.1) with  $p, q \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ , such that in the limit  $x = y$ .

We first note that in practice we approximate  $y$  with only a finite number of steps and by Theorem 1, if such  $x$  exists, then with a sufficient number of steps and given  $\epsilon$ ,  $|y - x| < \epsilon$ . Also note that  $y$  is a real constant that can be represented by a binary computer.

In order to find  $x$  we define continued fractions  $x_i$ , of length  $i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

$$x_i = \frac{p_1}{q_1} + \frac{p_2}{q_2} + \dots + \frac{p_i}{q_i + \theta},$$

where  $\theta \in \{m, M\}$ .

We start with  $i = 1$ , and a given  $y$  in the interval  $[m, M]$ . Define  $x_1 = p_1/(q_1 + \theta)$ , and substitute all possible values for  $(p, q, \theta)$  in the following order:  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1, m); (\frac{1}{2}, 1, M); (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, m); (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, M); (1, 1, m); (1, 1, M); (1, \frac{1}{2}, m)$  and  $(1, \frac{1}{2}, M)$ . These values when substituted in  $x_1$  define four subintervals over  $[m, M]$ . Simple analysis shows that the subintervals cover the entire interval  $[m, M]$ , with some regions of overlap between each consecutive subintervals. The result is that  $y$  is included in at least one such subinterval, and therefore we select the corresponding  $p$  and  $q$  as  $p_1$  and  $q_1$ . We increase  $i$  by one and study  $x_2 = p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2 + \theta$ , where now  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  are fixed and we substitute all values for  $p_2, q_2$ , and  $\theta$ . Again there will be at least one subinterval which will contain  $y$  and we can select  $p_2$  and  $q_2$ . Our process can be carried now for increasing values of  $i$  until a given precision is reached.

Algorithm 1 is inefficient because there are several multiplication and division operations in each step. In the next section we develop a more efficient set of selection rules for  $p$  and  $q$ .

### III. SOLUTION OF $ax^2 + bx - c = 0$

We now show how to solve a quadratic equation with two distinct roots of opposite signs and in particular, a square root problem.

Let

$$a_1 x_1^2 + b_1 x_1 - c_1 = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

be a given quadratic equation,  $a_1$  and  $c_1$  are positive and  $b_1$  is a nonnegative constant.

The substitution we use is of the form

$$x_i = \frac{p_i}{q_i + x_{i+1}} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$p_i, q_i \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

For the  $k$ th step we have

$$a_k \frac{p_k^2}{(q_k + x_{k+1})^2} + b_k \frac{p_k}{q_k + x_{k+1}} - c_k = 0 \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

or

$$c_k x_{k+1}^2 + (2c_k q_k - b_k p_k) x_{k+1} + c_k q_k^2 - a_k p_k^2 - b_k p_k q_k = 0.$$

The recursion that follows is

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda a_{k+1} &= c_k \\ \lambda b_{k+1} &= 2c_k q_k - b_k p_k \\ \lambda c_{k+1} &= -c_k q_k^2 + (a_k p_k + b_k q_k) p_k \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a nonzero constant that can be used for normalization.

The resulting quadratic equation is

$$a_{k+1} x_{k+1}^2 + b_{k+1} x_{k+1} - c_{k+1} = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

This method of approximating the solution of (3.1) can be used if we develop a technique for selecting  $p_k$  and  $q_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , from the coefficients of the  $k$ th quadratic equation, i.e.,  $a_k$ ,  $b_k$ , and  $c_k$ .

Using the results of Section II it can be seen that functional consistency of the procedure can be achieved in each step if

$$m \leq x_k \leq M \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.5)$$

By imposing condition (3.5) we need only one set of selection rules for  $p_k$  and  $q_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  for the range  $[m, M]$ .

We develop now a set of selection rules for  $p_k$  and  $q_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , for the quadratic equation.

We write below a version of (3.1).

Let

$$x_1 = \frac{c_1}{b_1 + a_1 x_1} \quad (3.6)$$

where it is assumed that  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $b_1 \geq 0$ ,  $a_1 > 0$ , and  $m \leq x_1 \leq M$ .

We will find  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  such that

$$x_1 = \frac{p_1}{q_1 + x_2} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $m \leq x_2 \leq M$  and  $p_1, q_1 \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\}$ .

Clearly, we have four possibilities, and for each pair of  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  we get a different  $x_2$ .

We take now the inverse approach. We assume that condition (3.5) exists for  $x_2$ , and find the range of  $x_1$  for each pair of  $p_1$  and  $q_1$ . We start with a pair  $p_1 = 1$  and  $q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ . From (3.7) we have for  $x_2 = \sqrt{2}$  (lower bound for  $x_1$ )

$$x_1 \geq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{2}} = \frac{2(2\sqrt{2} - 1)}{7} \cong 0.522, \quad (3.8)$$

and for  $x_2 = (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$  (upper bound for  $x_1$ )

$$x_1 \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{2}} = \sqrt{2} \cong 1.414. \quad (3.9)$$

The result is that for  $x_1$  in the range defined by (3.8)-(3.9), we can choose  $p_1 = 1$  and  $q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $x_1$  is the unknown we use (3.6) in order to find the allowable range for  $p_1 = 1$  and  $q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

We have

$$1.414 \geq \frac{c_1}{b_1 + a_1 x_1} \geq 0.522. \quad (3.10)$$

Since (3.10) is possible for any  $x_1$  in the range (3.8)-(3.9), we conclude that for the range

$$0.522b_1 + 0.522^2 a_1 \leq c_1 \leq \sqrt{2} b_1 + 2a_1 \quad (3.11)$$

we choose  $p_1 = 1$  and  $q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Similarly we write below the ranges for each of the remaining possibilities.

For

$$\begin{aligned} (\sqrt{2} - 1)b_1 + (\sqrt{2} - 1)^2 a_1 \leq c_1 \leq 2(\sqrt{2} - 1)b_1 \\ + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)^2 a_1, \quad \text{choose } p_1 = 1, q_1 = 1; \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\sqrt{2} - 1}{7} b_1 + \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2} - 1}{7} \right)^2 a_1 \leq c_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} b_1 + \frac{1}{2} a_1, \\ \text{choose } p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, q_1 = \frac{1}{2}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{2} b_1 + \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{2} \right)^2 a_1 \leq c_1 \leq (\sqrt{2} - 1)b_1 \\ + (\sqrt{2} - 1)^2 a_1, \quad \text{choose } p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, q_1 = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

The result is that the entire range  $[m, M]$  is divided into four sections, (3.11)-(3.14), and for each section we can choose a pair of  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  such that condition (3.5) for  $x_2$  be satisfied.

Clearly, if we have to do two multiplications for each selection range in order to find  $p_1$  and  $q_1$ , our procedure is inefficient. In the analysis that follows we make use of an important feature of the ranges defined in (3.11)-(3.14); this is the existence of overlapping between any two consecutive ranges.

This means that in the overlap regions we have a freedom of selecting the pairs  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  between the two sets of such constants. We will use this freedom in order to simplify our selection algorithm by defining a line of selection inside the overlap region such that the coefficients of  $b_1$  and  $a_1$  will be simple in the binary sense.

Before we define the selection lines, we note that rate of convergence of the method was found to be strongly dependent on these lines. The first set of selection lines can be the upper lines in each range, for

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 - 0.414b_1 &\leq 0.1713a_1 & \text{then } p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, q_1 = 1; \\ c_1 - 0.707b_1 &\leq 0.5a_1 & \text{then } p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, q_1 = \frac{1}{2}; \\ c_1 - 0.828b_1 &\leq 0.686a_1 & \text{then } p_1 = 1, q_1 = 1; \\ && \text{otherwise } p_1 = 1, q_1 = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Experimentally, this set of rules gave the best rate of convergence. Although written for (3.1), we see that it is valid for (3.4) for the general subscript  $k$ .

To simplify the constants that appear in (3.15) we use simple binary constants with at most two nonzero binary digits.

We have, for (3.4) with  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c_k - 0.375b_k &\leq 0.15625a_k & \text{then } p_k = \frac{1}{2}, q_k = 1; \\ c_k - 0.625b_k &\leq 0.5a_k & \text{then } p_k = \frac{1}{2}, q_k = \frac{1}{2}; \\ c_k - 0.75b_k &\leq 0.625a_k & \text{then } p_k = 1, q_k = 1; \\ && \text{otherwise } p_k = 1, q_k = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

These selection rules involve only short operations.

The algorithm described in this section involves the following steps, for the  $k$  iterations, starting with  $k = 1$ .

**Step 1:** Equation (3.4) is given, then use selection rules (3.16) to find  $p_k$  and  $q_k$ .

**Step 2:** Use the results of Step 1 and iterate on (1.2).

**Step 3:** Use the recursion (3.3) and find (3.4) for  $k + 1$ .

**Step 4:** Check if  $A_k/B_k$  reached the required precision. This check can be done only once if the number of iterations required to achieve certain precision is known. The analysis above for the rate of convergence gives the necessary information to find such numbers. If the required precision is not reached proceed to Step 1 for  $k + 1$ .

Theorem 1 of the last section assures convergence to the solution. Table I is a numerical example.

#### IV. SOLUTION OF A HIGHER DEGREE POLYNOMIAL

We now show how one solution of the cubic equation can be found by the method of Section III.

Let

$$a_1 x_1^3 + b_1 x_1^2 + c_1 x_1 - d_1 = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

be a given cubic equation. We use the substitution (3.2) and we get for the  $k$ th step:

$$a_k \frac{p_k^3}{(q_k + x_{k+1})^3} + b_k \frac{p_k^2}{(q_k + x_{k+1})^2} + c_k \frac{p_k}{q_k + x_{k+1}} - d_k = 0$$

or

$$d_k x_{k+1}^3 + (3d_k q_k - c_k p_k) x_{k+1}^2 + (3d_k q_k^2 - 2c_k p_k q_k - b_k p_k^2) x_{k+1} - (a_k p_k^3 + b_k p_k^2 q_k + c_k p_k q_k^2 - d_k q_k^3) = 0.$$

The recursion relations between the coefficients of the  $k$ th cubic equation and the  $(k + 1)$ st cubic equation are, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} &= d_k \\ b_{k+1} &= 3d_k q_k - c_k p_k \\ c_{k+1} &= 3d_k q_k^2 - 2c_k p_k q_k - b_k p_k^2 \\ d_{k+1} &= a_k p_k^3 + b_k p_k^2 q_k + c_k p_k q_k^2 - d_k q_k^3 \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The resulting cubic equation is

$$a_{k+1} x_{k+1}^3 + b_{k+1} x_{k+1}^2 + c_{k+1} x_{k+1} - d_{k+1} = 0.$$

For the selection rules we use an analysis similar to that of Section III. First observe that the bounds given in (3.8)-(3.9) for the case  $p = 1$  and  $q = \frac{1}{2}$  are valid. Therefore, we can write an expression, similar to (3.10) for the cubic equation:

$$\sqrt{2} \geq \frac{d_k}{a_1 x_1^2 + b_1 x_1 + c_1} \geq 0.522. \quad (4.3)$$

The result is that for

$$0.522c_1 + 0.522^2 b_1 + 0.522^3 a_1 \leq d_1 \leq \sqrt{2}c_1 + 2b_1 + 2\sqrt{2}a_1,$$

we choose  $p_1 = 1$  and  $q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

For the remaining cases we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sqrt{2} - 1)c_1 + (\sqrt{2} - 1)^2 b_1 + (\sqrt{2} - 1)^3 a_1 &\leq d_1 \\ &\leq 2(\sqrt{2} - 1)c_1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)^2 b_1 + 8(\sqrt{2} - 1)^3 a_1 \end{aligned}$$

choose  $p_1 = 1, q_1 = 1$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\sqrt{2}-1}{7} c_1 + \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}-1}{7}\right)^2 b_1 + \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}-1}{7}\right)^3 a_1 &\leq d_1 \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} c_1 + \frac{1}{2} b_1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} a_1 \end{aligned}$$

choose  $p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ;

TABLE I  
Solution of  $(x-0.4)(x+0.5) = 0$

k	$a_k$	$b_k$	$c_k$	$p_k$	$q_k$	$A_k$	$B_k$	$A_k/B_k$	Error
1	0.1000000	0.1000000	0.2000000	0.5	0.5	0.5000000	0.5000000	0.1000000	-0.6000000
2	0.2000000	0.1500000	0.2250000	1.0	1.0	0.5000000	0.1500000	0.3333333	0.6666667
3	0.2250000	0.3000000	0.1250000	0.5	1.0	0.7500000	0.1750000	0.4285714	-0.2857140
4	0.1250000	0.1000000	0.8125000	0.5	0.5	0.6250000	0.1625000	0.3846154	0.1538460
5	0.8125000	0.3125000	0.3593750	0.5	0.5	0.5875000	0.1587500	0.4074074	-0.7407410
6	0.3593750	0.2031250	0.1914062	0.5	0.5	0.5562500	0.1556250	0.3962264	0.3773590
7	0.1914062	0.8984375	0.9277343	0.5	0.5	0.6718750	0.1671875	0.4018691	-0.1869160
8	0.9277343	0.4785160	0.4711910	0.5	0.5	0.6640630	0.1554359	0.3990510	0.9389670
9	0.4711910	0.2313340	0.2337650	0.5	0.5	0.6679590	0.1667977	0.4004533	-0.4683940
10	0.2337650	0.1177980	0.1173400	0.5	0.5	0.6660150	0.1666020	0.3997655	0.2344670
11	0.1173400	0.5844120	0.5855560	0.5	0.5	0.6669920	0.1665990	0.4001171	-0.1171650
12	0.5855560	0.2933500	0.2930640	0.5	0.5	0.6665040	0.1665500	0.3999414	0.5859950
13	0.2930640	0.1463990	0.1464610	0.5	0.5	0.6667480	0.1666750	0.4000292	-0.2929540
14	0.1464610	0.7325500	0.7374810	0.5	0.5	0.6665260	0.1665630	0.3999853	0.1464930
15	0.7324810	0.3661510	0.3661960	0.5	0.5	0.6666870	0.1666690	0.4000073	-0.7324130
16	0.3661960	0.1831200	0.1831090	0.5	0.5	0.6666560	0.1666560	0.3999953	0.3662130
17	0.1831090	0.9154900	0.9155190	0.5	0.5	0.6665720	0.1665670	0.4000018	-0.1831050
18	0.9155190	0.4577730	0.4577660	0.5	0.5	0.6666640	0.1665550	0.3999908	0.9155290
19	0.4577660	0.2288800	0.2288810	0.5	0.5	0.6665580	0.1665570	0.4000045	-0.4577630
20	0.2288810	0.1144420	0.1144410	0.5	0.5	0.6665660	0.1666670	0.3999977	0.2288820
21	0.1144410	0.5722030	0.5722040	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.1144410
22	0.5722040	0.2861030	0.2861020	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.5722050
23	0.2861020	0.1430510	0.1430510	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.2861020
24	0.1430510	0.7152560	0.7152560	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999998	0.1430510
25	0.7152560	0.3575280	0.3575280	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.7152560
26	0.3575280	0.1788140	0.1788140	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.3575280
27	0.1788140	0.8940700	0.8940700	0.5	0.5	0.6666670	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.1788140
28	0.8940700	0.4470350	0.4470350	0.5	0.5	0.6666670	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.8940700
29	0.4470350	0.2235170	0.2235170	0.5	0.5	0.6666670	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.4470350
30	0.2235170	0.1117590	0.1117590	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1666670	0.3999999	0.2235170
31	0.1117590	0.5587940	0.5587940	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.1117590
32	0.5587940	0.2793970	0.2793970	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1666670	0.3999999	0.5587940
33	0.2793970	0.1396980	0.1396980	0.5	0.5	0.6666670	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.2793970
34	0.1396980	0.6984920	0.6984920	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1666670	0.3999999	0.1396980
35	0.6984920	0.3492460	0.3492460	0.5	0.5	0.6666670	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.6984920
36	0.3492460	0.1746230	0.1746230	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.3492460
37	0.1746230	0.8731160	0.8731160	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1666670	0.4000000	-0.1746230
38	0.8731160	0.4365590	0.4365590	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.8731210
39	0.4365590	0.2182790	0.2182790	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1666670	0.4000000	-0.4365590
40	0.2182790	0.1091390	0.1091390	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.2182840
41	0.1091390	0.5456830	0.5457040	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.1091350
42	0.5457040	0.2728420	0.2728420	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.5456750
43	0.2728420	0.1364310	0.1364310	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1666670	0.4000000	-0.2728370
44	0.1364310	0.6822570	0.6820510	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.1364190
45	0.6820510	0.3403240	0.3411290	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1666670	0.4000000	-0.6813990
46	0.3411290	0.1706670	0.1706670	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.3413940
47	0.1706670	0.8512870	0.8533330	0.5	0.5	0.6666670	0.1666670	0.4000000	-0.1706970
48	0.8533330	0.4275900	0.4256440	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1666670	0.3999999	0.8604230
49	0.4256440	0.2179970	0.2138450	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.4000000	-0.4302110
50	0.2138450	0.1079460	0.1054990	0.5	0.5	0.6665570	0.1665570	0.3999999	0.2081670

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2} c_1 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2}\right)^2 b_1 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2}\right)^3 a_1 \leq d_1$$

$$\leq (\sqrt{2}-1)c_1 + (\sqrt{2}-1)^2 b_1 + (\sqrt{2}-1)^3 a_1,$$

choose  $p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, q_1 = 1$ .

We are ready now to write a set of selection rules similar to (3.15), i.e., the upper line in each range will be our selection line:

$$d_1 - 0.414c_1 - 0.1713b_1 \leq 0.071a_1 \quad \text{then } p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, q_1 = 1;$$

$$d_1 - 0.707c_1 - 0.5b_1 \leq 0.3535a_1 \quad \text{then } p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, q_1 = \frac{1}{2};$$

$$d_1 - 0.828c_1 - 0.686b_1 \leq 0.5683a_1 \quad \text{then } p_1 = 1, q_1 = 1;$$

otherwise  $p_1 = 1, q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ . (4.4)

We note that as in (3.16) the constants which appear in (4.4) can be simplified.

For the proof of convergence and the rate of convergence we can use the analysis of Section II, and therefore we developed a method to approximate one positive solution of a cubic equation.

The procedure can be generalized now to higher degree polynomials. The necessary steps are as follows.

*Step 1:* To write the selection rules for the coefficients of the polynomial.

*Step 2:* To develop the selection rules by using an argument similar to (3.10) and (4.3).

*Step 3:* To simplify the coefficients in the selection rules.

The result is an algorithm which always converges to one positive solution. Table II is a numerical example.

## V. RICCATI EQUATION

The main purpose of this section is to find the family of functions for which bilinear transformations of the form (2.1) can be used with functional consistency.

Consider the Riccati equation

$$f_1' + a_1 f_1^2 + b_1 f_1 + c_1 = 0$$

where  $f_1(x)$  is a function of the variable  $x$ , and  $a_1, b_1$ , and  $c_1$  are functions of  $x$  or constants. The property of this equation as noted by Wynn [7] is that if the dependent variable  $f_1$  is

TABLE II  
Solution of  $(x-0.6)(x+0.5)(x+0.9) = 0$

k	a <sub>k</sub>	b <sub>k</sub>	c <sub>k</sub>	d <sub>k</sub>	p <sub>k</sub>	q <sub>k</sub>	A <sub>k</sub>	B <sub>k</sub>	A <sub>k</sub> /B <sub>k</sub>	Error
1	0.100000	0.800000	-0.390000	0.270000	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.500000	1.000000	-0.400000
2	0.270000	0.630000	0.197500	0.142500	0.5	1.2	0.500000	0.133333	0.500000	0.130000
3	0.142500	0.328800	0.400000	0.140000	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.750000	0.666667	-0.666670
4	0.140000	0.170000	-0.171970	0.514100	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.875000	0.571429	0.285710
5	0.514100	0.857300	0.466800	0.331800	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.812500	0.515385	-0.153850
6	0.301800	0.429400	-0.111800	0.139500	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.343800	0.592593	0.740700
7	0.139500	0.214800	0.285000	0.725600	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.828100	0.603773	-0.377400
8	0.725600	0.107400	-0.705700	0.355700	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.935000	0.598130	0.186900
9	0.355700	0.537100	0.177300	0.179500	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.832000	0.600938	-0.939000
10	0.179500	0.268600	-0.442100	0.993700	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.934000	0.599531	0.468400
11	0.993700	0.134300	0.110700	0.448000	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933000	0.600234	-0.234500
12	0.448000	0.671400	-0.276500	0.223700	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933500	0.599882	0.117200
13	0.223700	0.335700	0.691500	0.111900	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600058	-0.586000
14	0.111900	0.167800	-0.172900	0.559400	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933400	0.599970	0.293000
15	0.559400	0.839200	0.432100	0.279800	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600014	-0.146500
16	0.279800	0.419600	-0.108300	0.139900	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599926	0.732400
17	0.139900	0.209800	0.270100	0.699400	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600003	-0.366200
18	0.699400	0.104900	-0.675200	0.349700	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599998	0.183100
19	0.349700	0.524500	0.158800	0.174800	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600009	-0.915500
20	0.174800	0.262300	-0.422000	0.874200	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599995	0.457800
21	0.874200	0.131100	0.055000	0.437100	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600002	-0.228900
22	0.437100	0.655700	-0.263700	0.218600	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.114400
23	0.218600	0.327800	0.659200	0.109300	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.572200
24	0.109300	0.163900	-0.164700	0.546400	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.286100
25	0.546400	0.819600	0.410400	0.273200	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.143100
26	0.273200	0.409800	-0.101300	0.136500	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.715300
27	0.136500	0.204900	0.240200	0.683000	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.357600
28	0.683000	0.102400	-0.469800	0.341500	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.178800
29	0.341500	0.512200	-0.131400	0.170700	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.894100
30	0.170700	0.256100	0.133900	0.853700	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.447000
31	0.853700	0.128100	-0.164100	0.426900	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.223500
32	0.426900	0.640300	0.171600	0.213400	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.111800
33	0.213400	0.320100	-0.173500	0.106700	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.558800
34	0.106700	0.150100	0.174000	0.533600	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.279400
35	0.533600	0.830400	-0.174100	0.266800	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.139700
36	0.266800	0.400200	0.174100	0.133400	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.698500
37	0.133400	0.200100	-0.174100	0.667000	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.349200
38	0.667000	0.100000	0.174100	0.333500	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.174600
39	0.333500	0.500200	-0.174100	0.166700	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.873100
40	0.166700	0.250100	0.174100	0.833700	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.436600
41	0.833700	0.125100	-0.174100	0.416900	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.218300
42	0.416900	0.625300	0.174100	0.208400	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.109100
43	0.208400	0.312600	-0.174100	0.104200	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.545700
44	0.104200	0.156300	0.174100	0.521000	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.272800
45	0.521000	0.781400	-0.174100	0.260600	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.136400
46	0.260600	0.391000	0.174100	0.130200	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.681400
47	0.130200	0.195200	-0.174100	0.651900	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.361400
48	0.651900	0.978700	0.174100	0.325100	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.170700
49	0.325100	0.446800	-0.174100	0.163400	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.600000	-0.950400
50	0.163400	0.246000	0.174100	0.808400	0.5	0.5	0.500000	0.933300	0.599999	0.430200

replaced by the bilinear transformation (2.1), then the functions  $f_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  also satisfy the Riccati equation

$$f_k' + a_k f_k^2 + b_k f_k + c_k = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

We develop below the recursion for the coefficients of the  $(k+1)$ st equation by means of the coefficients of the  $k$ th equation.

Let (5.1) be designated as the  $k$ th Riccati equation, and assume that this is satisfied by  $y_k = f_k$ .

From (2.1) we have

$$y_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k + y_{k+1}}, \quad p_k, q_k \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\}$$

then since

$$y_k' = \frac{-p_k y_{k+1}'}{(q_k + y_{k+1})^2}$$

we have

$$\frac{-p_k y_{k+1}'}{(q_k + y_{k+1})^2} + a_k \frac{p_k^2}{(q_k + y_{k+1})^2} + b_k \frac{p_k}{q_k + y_{k+1}} + c_k = 0.$$

If we multiply by  $-(q_k + y_{k+1})^2/p_k$  to normalize the coefficient of  $y_{k+1}'$ , we get

$$y_{k+1}' - \frac{c_k}{p_k} y_{k+1}^2 - \left( b_k + \frac{2c_k q_k}{p_k} \right) y_{k+1} - \left( a_k p_k + b_k q_k + \frac{c_k q_k^2}{p_k} \right) = 0$$

and  $y_{k+1}$  will satisfy the  $(k+1)$ th Riccati equation if

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} &= -\frac{c_k}{p_k} \\ b_{k+1} &= -b_k - \frac{2c_k q_k}{p_k} \\ c_{k+1} &= -a_k p_k - b_k q_k - \frac{c_k q_k^2}{p_k} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

We note that all the operations involved in (5.2) require only "short" operations, since both  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  are simple binary constants.

**Theorem 3:** If  $\{y_k\}$  satisfies the Riccati equation, then  $\Delta = b_k^2 - 4a_k c_k$  is independent of  $k$  [4].

*Proof:* We use the recursion (5.2) and get

$$b_{k+1}^2 - 4a_{k+1}c_{k+1} = b_k^2 + \frac{4b_k c_k q_k}{p_k} + \frac{4c_k^2 q_k^2}{p_k^2} - 4a_k c_k - \frac{4b_k c_k q_k}{p_k} - \frac{4c_k^2 q_k^2}{p_k^2} = b_k^2 - 4a_k c_k.$$

Q.E.D.

In the analysis that follows we find continued fraction expansions of certain functions by the use of the general solution of the Riccati equation. Let

$$y' + ay^2 + by + c = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

be a Riccati equation, with  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  constants.

In order to find the solution of (5.3) we integrate by parts:

$$\frac{dy}{ay^2 + by + c} = -dx \quad (5.4)$$

and the solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{dy}{ay^2 + by + c} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}} \ln \frac{2ay + b - \sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}}{2ay + b + \sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}}; \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}} \operatorname{arctanh} \frac{b + 2ay}{\sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}}, \\ &\quad \text{when } b^2 - 4ac > 0; \\ &= \frac{-2}{b + 2ay}, \quad \text{when } b^2 - 4ac = 0; \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{(4ac - b^2)}} \operatorname{arctan} \frac{2ay + b}{\sqrt{(4ac - b^2)}}, \\ &\quad \text{when } b^2 - 4ac < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

The preceding solutions can be used now for the continued fraction expansion of the inverse functions which appear explicitly in the solution.

We start with the case

$$y = \tan x. \quad (5.6)$$

The Riccati equation for (5.6) is

$$y' = y^2 + 1, \quad y(0) = 0.$$

We note that  $-\Delta = \sqrt{4ac - b^2} = 2$ .

Now we use a bilinear transformation of the form (2.1). The result is a differential equation of the type (5.1) with the recursion (5.2). By Theorem 3 it follows that the solution for each equation  $k$  is of the type (5.5) with  $-\Delta > 0$ , and therefore we get for the  $k$ th step:

$$-x_k - d_k = \operatorname{arctan} \frac{2a_k y_k + b_k}{2}$$

where  $d_k$  is a constant of integration.

The solution is therefore

$$y_k = -\frac{b_k}{2a_k} - \frac{1}{a_k} \tan(x_k + d_k) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Except for the first part of the solution which is a linear transformation, we see the consistency of the method, because if a set of selection rules are developed for  $\tan x$  it can be used for each step and therefore evaluation of this function will be possible.

Another important function which can be included is  $e^x$ . We have

$$y' = y, \quad \Delta = 1.$$

The  $k$ th step solution is

$$-x_k - d_k = \ln \frac{2a_k y_k + b_k - 1}{2a_k y_k + b_k + 1},$$

or

$$y_k = -\frac{b_k + 1}{2a_k} - \frac{1}{a_k(e^{-x_k} - 1)} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Again we note that if a set of selection rules can be developed for  $e^x$  then it is possible to carry the process for each step and therefore to find the continued fraction expansion for the exponential function.

For the case where  $\Delta = 0$  we have several possibilities.

- 1)  $b = a = 0, y' + c = 0$  with the solution  $y = -cx + d$ .
- 2)  $b = c = 0, y' + ay^2 = 0$  with the solution

$$y_k = \frac{1}{ax + b}.$$

- 3)  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, c \neq 0$ , and  $b^2 - 4ac = 0$ .

Case 3) is particularly interesting because there exists two constants  $S$  and  $T$  such that

$$ay^2 + by + c = (Sy + T)^2$$

and by Theorem 3, this relation is true in each step of the iteration. The resulting Riccati equation has the form:

$$y_k' + (-1)^{k+1} V(x)(S_k y_k + T_k)^2 = 0 \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

where

$$S_k^2 = a_k;$$

$$2S_k T_k = b_k;$$

$$T_k^2 = c_k;$$

and  $V(x)$  is a function of  $x$  or a constant.

The recursion relations for  $S_k$  and  $T_k$  that follow from (5.2) are



$$S_{k+1} = T_k / \sqrt{p_k}$$

and

$$T_{k+1} = (S_k p_k + T_k q_k) / \sqrt{p_k}.$$

Since  $\sqrt{p_k}$  is not a desired feature,  $p_k = 1$  can be assumed in each step.

It is anticipated that the solution of many functions can be expanded as a continued fraction, provided that an adequate set of selection rules for  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  can be found.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank Prof. J.E. Robertson of the University of Illinois, for many helpful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. New York: Oxford, 1954.
- [2] A.N. Khovanskii, *The Application of Continued Fractions and Their Generalizations to Problems in Approximation Theory*. Groningen, The Netherlands: Noordhoff, 1963.
- [3] J.E. Robertson, Quarterly Progress Rep., Dept. Computer Science, Univ. of Illinois, Urbana, pp. 121-126, July 1970.
- [4] —, private communication, Jan. 1972.
- [5] K.S. Trivedi, "An algorithm for the solution of a quadratic

equation using continued fractions," M.S. thesis, Dept. Comput. Sci., Univ. of Illinois, Urbana, 1972.

- [6] H.S. Wall, *Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions*. New York: Van Nostrand, 1948.
- [7] P. Wynn, "Five lectures on the numerical application of continued fractions," Mathematics Res. Center, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison,
- [8] —, "On some recent development in the theory and application of continued fractions," *SIAM J. Numerical Analysis*, vol. 1, pp. 177-197, 1964.



Amnon Bracha-Barak was born in Tel-Aviv, Israel, on August 19, 1942. He received the B.Sc. degree in mathematics from the Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel, in 1967 and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in computer science from the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, in 1970 and 1971, respectively.

From 1963 to 1968 he was a scientific programmer in the Department of Applied Mathematics, the Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel. From 1968 to 1972 he was a Research Assistant and Postdoctorate in the Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois, and he is presently an Assistant Professor of Computer Science, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel. His research interests are computer arithmetic, numerical analysis and pattern recognition.

Dr. Bracha-Barak is a member of Sigma Xi and the Association for Computing Machinery.

# Data Manipulating Functions in Parallel Processors and Their Implementations

TSE-YUN FENG

**Abstract**—This paper shows that there exists a class of functions called data manipulating functions (DMF's), in sequential as well as parallel processors. The circuits used to achieve these functions can be considered to form an independent functional block, called a data manipulator. A basic organization applicable to both sequential and parallel processors is then suggested. The main deviation of a parallel processor organization from the conventional Von Neumann organization is seen to be in the bit-slice (bis) manipulating functions. A comprehensive set of bis manipulating functions from the categories of permuting, replicating, spacing and masking is given. Implementation of the last category, the masking functions, is usually through a mask register by defining its content (mask pattern). It is found that for many operations the required mask patterns are periodic and/or monotonic. The upper bounds of generating these patterns are found. The techniques and designs of two data manipulators for the first three

categories of DMF's (permuting, replicating, spacing) are given. Periodic and monotonic mask patterns are also used to help in implementing some of these functions. In addition, it is shown that the data manipulator designs presented in this paper are extremely flexible to suit the requirements of various parallel processors.

**Index Terms**—Cell communications, data manipulating functions, data manipulator, logic design, parallel processing, parallel processor organization, processing characteristics.

#### INTRODUCTION

IT is well known that as the switching speeds of computer devices approach a limit, any further improvement in computer throughput has to be in increasing the number of bits which can be processed simultaneously. Thus, given the same cycle time the slowest method of processing is by *bit-serial* (one bit at a time). The processing speed is increased by an order of magnitude or more when a number of bits, called a word, can be processed simultaneously. This

Manuscript received August 20, 1972; revised May 24, 1973. This work was supported by the Rome Air Development Center under Contract F30602-72-C-0281.

The author is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Syracuse University, Syracuse, N.Y.